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General Comment

The Association for Vascular Access (AVA) vision is to create a world with safe vascular access. The predicate to this requires patient and clinician education. Defining safe vascular access for all stakeholders requires that we no longer accept complications as an expectation of this procedure. Healthcare consumers continue to accept that missed attempts, infiltrations, extravasations, and negative outcomes are just an expectation of the most common procedure in healthcare. AVA aims to change this.

Many adverse outcomes related to vascular access are immediately recognized while others, like extravasation of radiopharmaceuticals, may go unrecognized for a prolonged period of time (sometimes years) and may be associated with negative outcomes including missed diagnosis or suboptimal treatment of nuclear therapy used to treat malignancies.

AVAs position statement about the use of Visualization Technology advocates that clinicians employ transillumination, infrared, or ultrasound technology during the insertion of all peripheral intravenous catheters to improve first-stick success. Our position to avoid all blind-sticks is grounded in evidence referenced in the position paper.

Clinician education is essential to avoid negative complications associated with venous access. Consistent, evidence-based education is lacking among clinicians who are expected to perform the procedure. AVA and B. Braun recently partnered to raise standards and enhanced training for IV placement to healthcare schools. Together the organizations are developing a series of online courses that will be provided free of charge to medical, nursing, respiratory therapists, and allied healthcare educators.
The education will provide the learner with sound principles and consistent education about the insertion, care, and maintenance of peripheral IV insertion.

Monitoring a vascular access device for complications like extravasation is a critical responsibility of the healthcare provider. Prevention and reduction of device complications may be achieved through clinician education, evidence-based education, and avoiding blind-stick insertions. Finally, healthcare consumers must be educated about the risks associated with vascular access and enable them to become advocates for safe vascular access in all care settings.
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Introduction / Summary

It is estimated that over 350 million peripheral intravenous catheters (PIVC) are inserted in the United States annually, making PIVC insertion the most commonly performed invasive procedure for patients.\textsuperscript{1,2,3} PIV catheters are often inserted for infusion of fluids, medications, administration of blood products, and the withdrawal of blood.\textsuperscript{4}

Vascular access device selection is a collaborative effort with the healthcare team taking into consideration the patient's history, condition, and infusion needs.\textsuperscript{4}

Venous vasculature is assessed through inspection, palpation, and visualization technology. The typical approach to prepare the vessel for PIVC insertion is the use of a tourniquet to enlarge the vessel, visual inspection, dangling the extremity, irritating the vessel (i.e., tapping), and heat.\textsuperscript{5} Vein visualization technology is designed to assess for vessel health and to detect the vessel pathway identifying key anatomical structures (such as valves) to support ease and accuracy of PIVC insertion.\textsuperscript{6} Evidence indicates that the use of vein visualization technology may improve first stick success, improve vessel identification, decrease procedure time, decrease procedural pain, and improve overall cost.\textsuperscript{6,7,8,9}

First stick success is dependent on the combination of visualization technology, clinician experience, skill, and training.\textsuperscript{8} Common vascular visualization technologies for the insertion of peripheral intravenous catheters include:

- **Transillumination** – Light technology placed underneath or around the intended PIVC insertion site and often used to visualize veins in neonates and infants, especially the hands and feet.\textsuperscript{5} Transillumination technology improves visualization and first stick success.\textsuperscript{6} Approved transillumination vein visualization devices do not emit heat, making it safe for contact with the skin.\textsuperscript{6}

- **Infrared (IR) or Near-Infrared (NIR)** Light vein visualization technology – Provides direct illumination and visualization of the venipuncture site. IR/NIR technology analyzes the skin and projects the received image back on the skin, making the veins appear as black lines, creating a map to guide vessel identification and cannulation.\textsuperscript{8} IR and NIR technology may improve procedure time, first success cannulation, procedure cost, and overall dwell time.\textsuperscript{6,10-15}

- **Ultrasound** – Allows for visualization of vessels, arteries, nerves, and surrounding structures. When using ultrasound, the vessel size, depth and anatomical structure are visible (including valves and bifurcations).\textsuperscript{7} Ultrasound allows for real-time visualization of needle access into the vessel and is recommended by multiple organizations,
associations, and standards.\textsuperscript{16-21} When used appropriately, ultrasound guidance for vascular access has been shown to improve first attempt success rates while reducing inadvertent injury, the number of needle passes, and infection rates.\textsuperscript{7,16-21} It may also improve patient comfort and satisfaction.\textsuperscript{7} In current guidance documents, the use of ultrasound for PIVC insertion is recommended in “difficult” patients.\textsuperscript{4,19,21,22} Considering the evidence of improved first stick success with ultrasound, the risk-to-benefit and the cost-to-benefit, the positive aspects of ultrasound use outweigh the negative.\textsuperscript{21}

Background / Problem

Education on vascular access and the insertion of PIVC is typically not provided in formal clinical training (e.g., nursing school). Recent surveys revealed that 57% of nurses reported not being taught in nursing school, 71% reported receiving on-the-job training, and 11% were taught using the “see one, do one” approach.\textsuperscript{23} With PIVC insertion being the most common clinical procedure and the evidence demonstrating high failure rates, there is opportunity to improve training, skill level, and guidance associated with the use of visualization technology.\textsuperscript{23, 24}

Difficult IV access patients are often those presenting with prematurity, obesity, chronic illness, hypovolemia, vasculopathy or intravenous (IV) drug use.\textsuperscript{19} Repeated attempts to insert PIVCs can cause vessel depletion. This often leads to the escalation of more invasive devices, such as central venous catheters. Repeated failed attempts at PIVC catheterization can cause pain, distress, bruising or nerve injury to the patient.\textsuperscript{6,24} IV insertion failure also impacts healthcare system efficiency and total cost of care.

Failed PIVC insertion can often lead to a second victim, the clinician attempting insertion. After PIVC insertion failure, the inserter may feel frustration, anxiety, loss of confidence and there may be a loss of trust between the clinician and the patient/family.\textsuperscript{6,25}

The Association for Vascular Access (AVA) recommendations:

Practice Recommendations

Given the variability of facilities, personnel and training, organizational capabilities, and practices, AVA recommends the following steps regarding vessel visualization for the insertion of peripheral intravenous catheters:

1. Healthcare providers using vein visualization technologies for the insertion of peripheral intravenous catheters will be adequately \textbf{trained} on the use of the technology, its benefits and limitations.

2. Peripheral intravenous catheter outcomes will be measured and understood including first stick success and catheter dwell time. Improvement measures may include (but are not limited to) team member training, patient education, and implementation of vein visualization technology.
3. **Transillumination** technology should be considered as a visualization tool if more advanced visualization technology is not available.

4. In an effort to prevent vessel depletion and improve patient comfort, the use of infrared/near infrared visualization technology or **ultrasound guidance** should be considered for peripheral intravenous catheter insertion as a first intervention when used by trained healthcare providers.

5. For technology that comes in contact with the patient, follow the manufacturer’s recommendations for appropriate covers to ensure proper protection of the patient. Training should also include proper care of the technology including cleaning and charging. For ultrasound, refer to the Association for Vascular Access guidance document on transducer disinfection.

**Conclusion:**
Technology, coupled with a skilled clinician, offers patients a safer, more reliable and less invasive solution for achieving vascular access. Peripheral intravenous catheters are getting more attention today than ever before as the opportunity to improve this aspect of care becomes better understood. ECRI Institute identified PIVC infections on the ‘Top 10 Patient Safety Concerns’ list for 2019. Professional organizations are launching formal curricula to support insertion, care and maintenance of PIVCs. In addition new and revised standards, guidance, and position papers are promoting best practice. Evolving clinical practice to include the implementation of evidence-based practice surrounding PIVC insertion will provide precision, decreased pain, time and institutional costs and one day hopefully eradicate the term “difficult access”. “One Stick” should be the standard for vascular access.
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Disclaimer: This document is meant to serve as a basis for evidence-based decision making. Nothing contained within this position paper should take the place of following a medical device’s approved instructions for use provided by the manufacturer.

The Association for Vascular Access (AVA) was founded in 1985 to promote the emerging vascular access specialty. Today, AVA stands at the forefront of protecting and saving lives via establishing best practices and promoting patient advocacy. AVA’s multidisciplinary membership advances research, provides professional and public education to shape practice and enhance patient outcomes, and partners with the device manufacturing community to bring about evidence-based innovations in vascular access. To learn more or join, visit www.joinAVAnow.com.
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